

MEETING OF THE COUNCIL

Supplementary Agenda No.1



Thursday, 10th December, 2020

5.30 pm

**Council Chamber
Thanet District Council
Margate**

**www.thanet.gov.uk
01843 577000**



We may be able to provide this document in a different format such as Braille, audio or large print, or in another language. Please call 01843 577165 for details.

Date: 7 December 2020
Ask For: James Clapson
Direct Dial: (01843) 577200
Email: james.clapson@thanet.gov.uk



You are hereby summoned to attend the meeting of the Thanet District Council to be held by Video conference on Thursday, 10 December 2020 at 5.30 pm for the purpose of transacting the business mentioned below.

Timothy Howes

Director of Corporate Governance

To: The Members of Thanet District Council

FIRE ALARM PROCEDURES: If the fire alarm is activated, please vacate the offices via the stairs either through the security door to the left of the Chairman or opposite the lifts in the foyer. Please do not use the lifts. Please assemble in Hawley Square on the green. Officers will assist you and advise when it is deemed safe to return to the Chamber.

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA NO.1

Item
No

10. **REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL**
(Pages 3 - 20)

Overview & Scrutiny Panel Chairman's Report to Council

Council	10 December 2020
Report Author	Committee Services Manager
Status	For Information
Classification:	Unrestricted
Key Decision	No
Reasons for Key	N/A
Ward:	Thanet Wide

Executive Summary:

This report highlights some of the key activities that have been planned for by the Overview & Scrutiny Panel during the course of this municipal year and progress to date regarding implementation of the Panel's work programme.

Recommendation(s):

Members are to note the report.

Corporate Implications

Financial and Value for Money

There are no financial implications directly arising from this report. The report provides a briefing to Full Council about the current work activities of the Overview & Scrutiny Panel.

Legal

There are no legal implications directly arising from this report. A presentation of the Panel Chairman's report to Full Council enables the Chairman to fulfil their duty as is required by the Council's Constitution.

Corporate

There are no corporate risks associated with this report. The report enables discussion by Members at Full Council on the activities of the Finance Scrutiny Panel.

The debate on the Panel Chairman's report contributes to open communication across the council. A strong scrutiny function contributes to an open democratic process for decision making and delivery of value for money services as council decisions are interrogated by Members before they are implemented. In instances where such decisions are interrogated after implementation, there will be lessons to learn for future policy development.

Equality Act 2010 & Public Sector Equality Duty

Members are reminded of the requirement, under the Public Sector Equality Duty (section 149 of the Equality Act 2010) to have due regard to the aims of the Duty at the time the decision is taken. The aims of the Duty are: (i) eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act, (ii) advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and (iii) foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

Protected characteristics: age, sex, disability, race, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, religion or belief and pregnancy & maternity. Only aim (i) of the Duty applies to Marriage & civil partnership.

This report relates to the following aim of the equality duty: -

1. To eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act.
2. To advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it
3. To foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

No implications arise directly but the Council needs to retain a strong focus and understanding on issues of diversity amongst the local community and ensure service delivery matches these.

It is important to be aware of the Council's responsibility under the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) and show evidence that due consideration has been given to the equalities impact that may be brought upon communities by the decisions made by Council.

Corporate Priorities

This report relates to Communities.

1.0 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 At each ordinary Full Council meeting, the Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Panel presents a progress update report on the activities of the Panel since the last Council meeting.
- 1.2 Such a report would be subject to comment or debate by Members. This is in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 15.1 of Part 4 of the Council Constitution.
- 1.3 This report updates the Council on the work of the Panel since the last Council meeting and allows for discussion of the work undertaken, therefore strengthening the Council's scrutiny function.
- 1.4 This report follows on from the one presented at Full Council on 12 October 2020. The Panel met on 27 October and received reports regarding its work programme.

- 1.5 The work programme for 2020/21 is detailed in Annex 1 to the Council report. This schedule is subject to amendments during the course of the year as Members may add more items for reviewing.
- 1.6 Members may also wish to reflect and comment on the content in Annex 2 which provides an opportunity to review and keep track of the spread of the scrutiny review work, particularly between pre and post decision scrutiny activities.
- 1.7 Annex 3 is a summary of the prioritised scrutiny review topics for 20/21. This work is not necessarily confined to this municipal year, but could well be taken into subsequent years if the priority order remains generally the same for the Panel.

2.0 Current Situation

- 2.1 The Panel met on 27 October and reviewed its work programme during which they received an update report from the Chairman of the Memorials Working Party. The main points from that report were as follows:
 - The working party agreed that this was a difficult and sensitive matter and that it would be helpful to receive representations from groups which represented persons with characteristics which were protected by the Equality Act 2010;
 - There were nearly 100 memorials around in the district;
 - The working group agreed that the council did not have the resources to review all such memorials and that the council would respond on a reactive rather than a proactive basis;
 - The Monitoring Officer contacted the groups and individuals suggested by the working party, asking them to provide written representations on the process for considering memorials and he also invited them to attend the next meeting of the working party;
 - When responses have been received, the working party hold another meeting to consider those contributions and make further progress;
 - All requests for a review of a particular memorial would be considered against the Public Sector Equality Duty. However the judgement of the decision maker should be fully informed.
- 2.2 The working party also met on 25 November where members of the public with an interest in the subject had been invited and had agreed to attend the meeting. An update would be provided at the next council meeting.

2.3 Cabinet Member Presentations

- 2.4 At the October Panel meeting, Members received a presentation from the Cabinet Member for Operational Services on the management of Thanet beaches during 2020 Summer and during the discussion the following points were made:
 - This was a different summer from the normal summers that are usually experienced in Thanet. There was great weather and the country was coming out of national lockdown that had been imposed as a measure to manage the global covid-19 pandemic;

- The government encouraged the public to go to the beaches in July, as going abroad was not an option, Thanet experienced an unusually large number of visitors to the local beaches;
- Very little social distancing appeared to be observed. There were some incidents of anti social behaviour that included littering and dangerous parking;
- The Council met with a number of agencies and came up with a Beach Management Plan to manage visitors on Thanet beaches;
- There were weekly operational orders shared with other agencies. There was a beach supervisor who picked up on any issues that were quickly arising and liaising on the appropriate agency that would deal with such matters;
- There was greater cooperation between the council's security and enforcement officers and CCTV personnel in tackling any incident that arose at the beaches. The council staff did their work quite well;
- New signage was introduced and electronic boards were put up to advise the public on the status of visitor numbers at beaches;
- TDC linked up with London Boroughs to share the information on Thanet beaches to reach out to as wide an audience as was possible;
- The Cabinet Member and Leader of Council conducted a number of broadcasts to share key messages;
- Coastal signage was refreshed. A summary poster of TDC bye-laws was produced for the public and businesses;
- Moving forward, the council would ensure the following that:
 - ❖ A communications plan would be devised and a review of operational presence at beaches would be conducted;
 - ❖ Meetings with the business community would be conducted to discuss the visitor environment during summer period;The Council would Reinstate the beach business group network and meetings were planned over winter with the support of the Tourism team to help coordinate this.
 - ❖ Council was working with a community group (Rise Up, Clean Up) to provide litter bag dispensers at the beaches
 - ❖ Seaweed was an issue for some areas particularly in Birchington and Westgate on Sea. The council would look into this issue and see if there could be a better way of managing that issue. The problem that the council faced was how to remove the seaweed without damaging the protected chalk area;
 - ❖ The council had established a good working relationship with partner agencies. This collaborative working would be pursued again next year;
 - ❖ A PSPO (Public Spaces Protection Order) regarding dog restrictions was out to public consultation. In order to make enforcement easier, the council would start turning bye-laws into PSPOs. This was a significant piece of work;
 - ❖ Once some of the bye-laws were changed to PSPOs then fixed penalty charges could be imposed for offending behaviour. This will be considered as part of the main review leading to a refreshed Beach Management Plan;
 - ❖ Council officers had been in discussions with various business premises to arrange for the use of their toilets by the public;
 - ❖ Council was still reviewing its own public toilet facilities.

2.5 The Panel considered an update report on Foy House. The project would provide a good mix of households. It would provide energy efficiency, with solar panels installed on the building. There would be court yard access for the families. A planning

application would be submitted before the project was implemented. It was anticipated that the project would start in April 2021, with a completion date of early 2022. This project was another important step for the work towards making a strong centralised housing service managed by the council.

2.6 At the 24 November meeting, the Panel also received a presentation led by the Leader of Council and Director of Communities on 'BREXIT - TDC Plans regarding the EU Transition coming to an end.' The key points made during discussion of this issue were as follows, that:

- There was a plan to hold up to 4,000 lorries at Manston Airport in the event of significant congestion on the M20 at the end of the transition at 11.00pm on 31 December 2020, in the worst case scenario;
- TDC had never been in favour of this plan to use Manston Airport, because of the potential impact on the district;
- However it should be noted that the plan is part of a national scheme to address traffic concerns when the UK is out of EU;
- The Council was still trying to understand the impact of the scheme and to mitigate any negative impacts, this may have for Thanet. This would include the council making representations to the national authorities;
- TDC had been engaged in a number of meetings with MPs and government ministers going back to three years;
- The Council had not been given detailed information required to understand fully the potential impact on Thanet so that the council could come up with mitigatory measures to address the impact and a plan to communicate with the local residents
- The council had not been provided with detailed information to enable a full comment on the traffic flow modelling (including managing traffic flow in and out of the airfields), environmental impact including noise vehicle emissions and light intrusions;
- The Council had received information on the draft Operational Management Plan (OMP) which included key areas like on-site medical provision, driver and staff welfare, Covid-19 outbreak plans, measures for preventing antisocial behaviour and site security. Discussions were still ongoing focusing on risk issues;
- The proposed plan placed a disproportionate burden on the district in comparison to other Kent local authorities. This could have significant long term impact on Thanet;
- The council had not received any information that reflected that the plan would not have detrimental effect on public health and safety at a critical time in combating the current public health emergency;
- The impact of local drivers being held up in traffic for long periods of time on surrounding services, communities and environment must be assessed and mitigated including addressing the issue of the welfare of drivers and staff on site;
- In the event of a Covid outbreak, a site specific plan must be formulated and be ready for implementation. This must include the provision of medical facilities on site to manage positive cases and self isolation requirements of a large cohort of drivers on site;
- The district had already seen an increase in Covid cases (the 3rd highest in England) in recent weeks. Any uncontrolled outbreak at Manston Airport site could have a significant impact on already stretched local services;

- The council continued to engage with the command and control structures and raised issues through the Strategic Command Group (SCG) and the Tactical Command Group (TCG);
- The council participated in all the training exercises that included Lundy and Perch;
- The council had reviewed and updated all business continuity plans and emergency response protocols to ensure that TDC was fully prepared for any potential disruption. The council had also conducted community impact assessments and was actively engaged with the Department of Transport (DfT) and their consultants on the plans for Manston Airport site;
- A formal response had been forwarded to the Special Development Order (SDO) consultation on the site;
- A formal response had been forwarded to the KRF Tactical Options for Community Impacts and KRF Operational Fennel Plans;
- A formal response had been forwarded to DfT and other partners regarding the Operation Management Plan;
- The Thanet Safety Review Group (chaired by TDC) would be reviewing and commenting on the operation of the site to ensure safety, health and wellbeing of the site users whilst trying to minimise the impact on local residents and services.

2.7 Members were advised that, in the meantime, the Council would continue to engage the government departments and other key stakeholders to prepare for the end of the transition and to find best ways of mitigating any worst case scenario as a result of the UK leaving the EU on 31 December.

2.8 The Panel reviewed the fees and charges proposals for 2021/22 that Cabinet would be recommending to Council on 10 December. There were no recommendations from the Panel regarding these proposals.

3.0 Panel Recommendations to Cabinet - Implementation Monitoring

The Panel keeps a watching brief on all the issues in this section, until the executive decisions are fully implemented.

Proposal for the disposal of the Dreamland freehold

3.1 The Panel called-in a Cabinet decision regarding the Dreamland freehold and recommended the following back to Cabinet:

1. That Cabinet seeks three independent valuations for the Dreamland site before an agreement is reached with a buyer, and;
2. Cabinet requests an 18 year housing development restriction in the agreement instead of the proposed 10 years.

3.2 In response Cabinet agreed the following:

1. To authorise another market valuation of the Dreamland site in order to ensure that sufficient breadth of comparable evidence is considered for the sale. Both valuations to be used to demonstrate that best value is obtained.
 2. To retain the proposed 10 year housing development restriction on the Dreamland site as agreed by Cabinet on 01 August 2019. Delegated officers to continue the negotiations to explore the suggested extension fully as part of the sale process.
- 3.3 The Panel is maintaining a watching brief on this matter as the negotiations progress.

Adoption of a new Housing Assistance Policy

- 3.4 At the July 2019 meeting, the Panel considered a report on the “Adoption of a new Housing Assistance Policy” and recommended to Cabinet that Council writes to Kent County Council requesting that “KCC ring-fenced the £405k (Top sliced/payment to KCC projected for 2019/20) for use by Thanet residents”.
- 3.5 Cabinet forwarded the request to KCC via a letter sent to KCC by the Cabinet Member for Housing and Safer Neighbourhoods on 12 August 2019. The Panel is awaiting a response from KCC.

Travellers Review Recommendation

- 3.6 After a scrutiny review conducted by a working group, the Panel recommended to Cabinet that “further work be undertaken including extensive public consultation to assess the feasibility and cost implications of establishing temporary tolerated sites in the district.”

- 3.7 Having considered the Overview and Scrutiny Panel recommendations on 16 December 2019, Cabinet agreed to the following:

“That further work was undertaken to assess the feasibility and cost implications of three temporary tolerated sites in the District, at Potten Street, St Nicholas at Wade, Tivoli Brooks and Ramsgate Port, with a view to using them on a rotational basis”. Members are maintaining a watching brief on the issue.

- 3.8 Cabinet further considered this matter at the meeting on 17 September 2020 and agreed the following:

1. That the identification of appropriate tolerated stopping sites becomes part of the Local Plan review;
2. That Officers continue with an officer and partner group, working with known groups of gypsies and travellers to address site access and behaviours.

- 3.9 The Local Plan Review Cabinet Advisory Group met on 16 November and recommended to Cabinet that “the Local Plan update should extend the Plan period to 2040,” and address among other issues “The provision of Gypsy & Traveller sites to meet identified requirements.” It is anticipated that Cabinet would be considering these recommendations on 17 December 2020.

Council Budget Setting 2020/21

- 3.10 Members reviewed the 2020/21 Council budget proposals forwarded to the Panel by Cabinet and engaged the Cabinet Member for Financial Services in discussion at the January meeting.
- 3.11 After some debate, Members made the following recommendation to Cabinet to consider before submitting the budget proposals to Full Council for final decision:
- “that Cabinet considers identifying a resource to support coastal projects.”
- 3.12 Thereafter Cabinet recommended to Council and on 6 February 2020, Members agreed the following:
- a. That subject to funding availability, a resource be identified to support coastal projects.
- 3.13 The Panel will continue to monitor this issue to check if any progress has been made to secure the funding for coastal projects.

4.0 Options

- 4.1 Members are asked to note the report.

Contact Officer: Charles Hungwe, Senior Democratic Services Officer
Reporting to: Nick Hughes, Committee Services Manager

Annex List

Annex 1: Overview & Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2020/21
Annex 2: Record of OSP Pre and Post Decision Reviews for 2020/21
Annex 3: Scrutiny Review Scoring table

Background Papers

None

Corporate Consultation

Finance: Chris Blundell, Director of Finance

Legal: Tim Howes, Corporate Director of Governance & Monitoring Officer

Overview & Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2020/21		
Meeting Date	Indicative Agenda Items	Issue Source
24 November 2020	Fees and charges 2021-22	Financial Services Item
	BREXIT - TDC Plans regarding the EU Transition coming to an end	Community Services Item
	Review OSP Work Programme for 2020/21	Standing Agenda Item
	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List	Standing Agenda Item
19 January 2021	Cabinet Member Presentation	Standing Agenda Item
	2021-22 Budget	Finance Item
	The Impact of Covid-19 on businesses and communities in Thanet	Community Services Item
	Proposals for new look corporate performance reporting format	Financial Services Item
	Corporate Performance Report Q1 and Q2 2020/21	Financial Services Item
	Review OSP Work Programme for 2020/21	Standing Agenda Item
	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List	Standing Agenda Item
18 February 2021	Cabinet Member Presentation	Standing Agenda Item
	Crime Stats in Thanet	Community Services Item
	Street Scene Review	Community Services Item
	Planning Enforcement Review	Community Services Item
	The Impact of Covid-19 on businesses and communities in Thanet	Community Services Item
	Review OSP Work Programme for 2020/21	Standing Agenda Item
	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List	Standing Agenda Item
20 April 2021	Cabinet Member Presentation	Standing Agenda Item
	Review OSP Work Programme for 2020/21	Standing Agenda Item

	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List	Standing Agenda Item
25 May 2021	Cabinet Member Presentation	Standing Agenda Item
	Review OSP Work Programme for 2021/22	Standing Agenda Item
	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List	Standing Agenda Item

OSP Pre and Post Decision Reviews for 2020/21

Date of scrutiny meeting	Item	Pre-decision	Post Decision	Cabinet Presentation	Work Planning
28/05/19	Establish the Overview & Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
28/05/19	Corporate Performance Report Quarter 4 2018-19		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
28/05/19	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18/07/19	Cabinet Member Presentation - The Leader of Council - 'the development work going on and the future of the Ramsgate Port.'			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
18/07/19	Adoption of a new Housing Assistance Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
18/07/19	Reviewing the OSP Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18/07/19	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
15/08/19	Proposal for the disposal of the Dreamland freehold		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
27/08/19	Reviewing the OSP Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
27/08/19	Corporate Statement 2019-2023	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

27/08/19	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
01/10/19	East Kent Housing Q1 Performance Report for 2019/20		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
01/10/19	TDC Corporate Performance Report for Q1 2019/20		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
01/10/19	Call-in of Individual Cabinet Member decision-Port and Harbour Projects - Variation to 2019/20 Capital Programme		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
22/10/19	Cabinet Member Presentation - Fees & Charges Proposals for 2020/21	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
22/10/19	Crime Stats for Thanet		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
22/10/19	Reviewing the OSP Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
22/10/19	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
19/11/19	Draft Housing Strategy 2020-2025	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
19/11/19	EKH Quarterly Performance Report Q2 2019/20		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
19/11/19	Corporate Performance Report Quarter 2 2019-20		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
19/11/19	Review of Unauthorised Traveller Encampment in Thanet - Report back by the Traveller Review Group	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

19/11/19	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
19/11/19	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
21/01/20	Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) 2020-24	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
21/01/20	2020-21 Budget Setting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
21/01/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
21/01/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
20/02/20	Cabinet Member Presentation - The Future of Council Housing Stock Management - An Update Report		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
20/02/20	East Kent Housing Performance Report Q3 2019/20		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
20/02/20	Corporate Performance Report Quarter 3 2019-20		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
20/02/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
20/02/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
26/05/20	Thanet Local Plan - Inspectors' Report (Reg 25) And Adoption (Reg 26)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

26/05/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2019/20				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
26/05/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
21/07/20	Cabinet Member Presentation - Beach Management Plan		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
21/07/20	Procurement of Lift refurbishment Programme and External Repairs and Decorations Programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
21/07/20	Criteria for any Review of Street and Building Names and other Monuments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
21/07/20	Call-in of an Individual Cabinet Member Decision - Memorial Plaque in Broadstairs		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
21/07/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2020/21				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
21/07/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
27/08/20	Draft Empty Homes Plan 2020-23	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
27/08/20	Tenant and Leaseholder Service Transition Update			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
27/08/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2020/21				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
27/08/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

27/10/20	The Management of Thanet Beaches during 2020 Summer		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
27/10/20	Foy House Update			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
27/10/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2020/21				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
27/10/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
24/11/20	Fees and charges 2021-22	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
24/11/20	BREXIT - TDC Plans regarding the EU Transition coming to an end	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
24/11/20	Review the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Work Programme for 2020/21				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
24/11/20	Forward Plan & Exempt Cabinet Report List				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

This page is intentionally left blank

Table as at August 2020

Title Of the Scrutiny Review	Review Type	Date added to the scoring table	Membership	Is the topic related to a priority or value within the Council's Corporate Plan?	Is the topic of high public concern?	Is the topic currently under-performing as per the Council's quarterly performance monitoring?	Will the topic result in recommendations that save that Council money or generate income?	Time on the list?	Implications for officer resource allocation	Total	Rank
Private rented sector: How does TDC regulate private landlords and letting agencies in Thanet?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th
Empty Properties: Why does Thanet have the highest number of empty properties in Kent and what approaches can be used to put these properties to use in a timely manner?	A	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	0	10	0	20	50	=3rd
Planning enforcement in the district is slow: Why is the planning enforcement process seemingly so slow in Thanet and how can it be made more efficient?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	20	0	0	40	=5th
Coastal waste clearance: How does Thanet ensure that its coastal promenades and beaches are kept clear of rubbish and in the best condition for both residents and visitors?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	20	10	0	10	70	1st
Street Scene: Abandoned vehicles - how can TDC speed up time taken to clear abandoned vehicles?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th
Selective Licensing - Is selective licensing the best way forward for the improvement of the privately rented sector Thanet and if so, could it be replicated in other areas of the district?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	20	0	0	40	=5th
Camper Vehicles being parked on the street for too long: What is the impact of parked Camper vans on the Thanet roads and can this be regulated by Thanet District Council?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th
Promenades - safety concerns cyclists speeding and sharing the footpath: Would a dedicated cycle path (or markings) along the promenade help control bike/pedestrian placement (and cyclist speed)?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	0	0	10	40	=5th
Replacement bins for litter/dog waste: What is the council's reasoning behind complete removal of damaged bins and not replacing them?	A	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	10	0	20	60	2nd

Managing anti-social behaviour on Thanet beaches: Are beach inspectors the best way to control or manage beach behaviour?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	10	0	10	50	=3rd
Weed killer usage: What is the best approach for managing grass and hedges in public open spaces in the district that can be used to replace the use of weed killers?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	10	0	10	40	=5th
Water user group regulation: What role does TDC have in ensuring the safety of swimmers and other water users from the behaviour of boat and jet ski users in Thanet bays?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	0	0	0	30	=14th
Rough Sleepers: what are we doing about this as a long term plan of addressing the issue (post COVID-19)?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	0	10	0	0	30	=14th
Modern Slavery: - hand car washes. Is there any intervention the council can do to address the issue of modern slavery?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	0	0	0	20	=16th
Statues and Blue Plaques: What would be the best approach for managing the discussion on and review of suitability of statues and plaques in the district?	B	14/8/20	TBC	10	20	-	0	0	10	40	=5th
Shellfish collection enforcement: How is the collection of shellfish from Thanet beaches regulated and how can enforcement be best managed?	C	14/8/20	TBC	10	10	-	0	0	0	20	=16th

A:1 Day – 4 weeks Review: **limited officer resource allocations required** for a successful review

B:More than 4 weeks and up to 3 months – **significant officer resource allocations required** for a successful review

C:More than 3 months: **very significant officer resource allocation required** for a successful review