Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy for 2022-23

Governance and Audit Committee	1 December 2021
Report Author	Chris Blundell, Director of Finance and Acting Section 151 Officer
Portfolio Holder	Councillor David Saunders, Cabinet Member for Finance
Status	For Decision
Classification	Unrestricted
Previously Considered by	N/A
Ward	Thanet Wide

Executive Summary:

This report and annexes includes the proposed Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement, Annual Investment Strategy, Capital Strategy and Non-Treasury Investments Report (TMSS) for 2022-23.

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) defines treasury management as:

"The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

The regulatory environment places responsibility on members for the review and scrutiny of treasury management policy and activities. This report is, therefore, important in that respect, as it provides:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

Key reporting items to consider include:

- capital expenditure of £70.880m forecast over the next three years (2022-23 to 2024-25 inclusive), requiring borrowing of £11.275m.

- No change to the Minimum Revenue Provision policy (policy for paying off the accumulated borrowing requirement).

- This report is in line with the amendment as per the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategy Mid Year 2021-22 Review to reflect the further deferral of International Financial Reporting Standard 16 (IFRS16) on lease accounting to April 2022.; that the 2021-22 Operational Boundary for other long term liabilities is reduced from £35m to £10m and the 2021-22 Authorised Limit for other long term liabilities is reduced from £45m to £15m.

Recommendation(s):

That the Governance and Audit Committee:

- Makes comments on this report and annexes as appropriate.
- Recommends this report and annexes, including each of the key elements listed below, to council for approval.

    a. The Capital Plans, Prudential Indicators and Limits for 2022-23 to 2024-25, including the Authorised Limit Prudential Indicator;
    b. The Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy;
    c. The Treasury Management Strategy for 2022-23 to 2024-25 and the Treasury Indicators;
    d. The Investment Strategy for 2022-23 contained in the Treasury Management Strategy, including the detailed criteria;
    e. The Capital Strategy for 2022-23;
    f. The Non-Treasury Investments Report for 2022-23.

Corporate Implications

Financial and Value for Money

The financial implications are highlighted in this report.

Legal

Section 151 of the 1972 Local Government Act requires a suitably qualified named officer to keep control of the council’s finances. For this council, this is the Director of Finance, and this report is helping to carry out that function.

Corporate

Failure to undertake this process will impact on the council’s compliance with the Treasury Management Code of Practice.

Equalities Act 2010 & Public Sector Equality Duty

There are no equity and equalities implications arising directly from this report, but the council needs to retain a strong focus and understanding on issues of diversity amongst the local community and ensure service delivery matches these.

It is important to be aware of the council's responsibility under the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) and show evidence that due consideration had been given to the equalities impact that may be brought upon communities by the decisions made by council.

CORPORATE PRIORITIES

This report relates to the following corporate priorities: -
- Growth
- Environment
- Communities.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that this cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the council's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the council, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning, to ensure that the council can meet its capital spending obligations. This management of longer-term cash may involve arranging long or short-term loans, or using longer-term cash flow surpluses. On occasion, when it is prudent and economic, any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet council risk or cost objectives.

The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.

Whilst any loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities (arising usually from capital expenditure) and are separate from the day to day treasury management activities.

CIPFA defines treasury management as:

"The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

1.2 Reporting requirements

1.2.1 Capital Strategy

The CIPFA 2017 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes require all local authorities to prepare reports which will provide the following:

- a high-level long term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services.
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed.
- the implications for future financial sustainability.

The aim of this is to ensure:

- that all elected members on the full council fully understand the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting capital strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite.
- the separation of the core treasury function under security, liquidity and yield principles, and the policy investments usually driven by expenditure on an asset.

For this council, these additional reports are the Capital Strategy and the Non-Treasury Investments Report.

1.2.2 Treasury Management reporting

The council is currently required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main treasury reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

a. **Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) - The first, and most important report is forward looking and covers:
   - the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
   - a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
   - the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
   - an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

b. **A mid-year treasury management report** – This is primarily a progress report and will update members on the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.

c. **An annual treasury report** – This is a backward looking review document and provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

Scrutiny
The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the council. This role is undertaken by the Governance and Audit Committee.

1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2022-23

The strategy for 2022-23 covers two main areas:

Capital issues
- the capital expenditure plans and the associated prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

Treasury management issues
- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, DLUHC Investment Guidance, DLUHC MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code.

1.4 Training

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to members responsible for scrutiny. Training was last undertaken by members on 31 October 2019 and further training will be arranged as required.

The training needs of treasury management officers are periodically reviewed.

1.5 External service providers

The council uses Link Group, Treasury Solutions as its external treasury management advisors.

The council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon our external service providers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The council will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

The council uses the Institutional Cash Distributors (ICD) Portal to invest or redeem trades in its Money Market and Bond Funds (MMBFs). The portal provides advanced reporting tools so that the authority can assess its exposure to certain banks or countries.

Most investments via the ICD portal are made via JP Morgan, who act as a clearing house for ten of the thirteen MMBFs the council currently uses. The clearing house allows the authority to make several investments in different MMBFs but only requires one payment to the clearing house, therefore saving the authority costs in CHAPS fees.

2 THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2022-23 – 2024-25

The council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

2.1 Capital expenditure and financing

This prudential indicator is a summary of the council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital expenditure £m	**2020-21 Actual**	**2021-22 Budget**	**2022-23 Budget**	**2023-24 Budget**	**2024-25 Budget**
General Fund	12.376	23.210	18.310	10.146	6.224
HRA	11.118	7.838	21.031	4.440	10.729
Total	**23.494**	**31.048**	**39.341**	**14.586**	**16.953**

The table below summarises how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

Financing of capital expenditure £m	2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Budget	2022-23 Budget	2023-24 Budget	2024-25 Budget
Capital receipts - GF	1.692	5.515	0.661	0.314	0.314
Capital receipts - HRA	1.567	0.625	1.214	0.600	0.000
Capital grants and other contributions-GF	8.378	11.372	16.534	8.756	5.060
Capital grants - HRA	0.240	0.343	0.000	0.000	2.940
Reserves - GF	0.696	2.646	0.020	0.000	0.000
Reserves - HRA	4.692	6.045	17.523	2.140	2.629
Revenue - GF	0.000	0.073	0.000	0.000	0.000
Revenue - HRA	0.343	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.300
Net financing need for the year	**5.886**	**4.129**	**3.089**	**2.476**	**5.710**

Other long term liabilities: The above financing need excludes other long term liabilities, such as PFI and leasing arrangements which already include borrowing instruments.

2.2 The council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the council's indebtedness and so its underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the indebtedness in line with each asset's life, and so charges the economic consumption of capital assets as they are used.

The CFR includes any other long term liabilities (e.g. PFI schemes, finance leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the council's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility from the provider and so the council is not required to separately borrow for these schemes. The council had £1.509m of long term liabilities (excluding pensions) as at 31 March 2021.

The council is asked to approve the CFR projections below:

£m	2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Estimate	2022-23 Estimate	2023-24 Estimate	2024-25 Estimate
Capital Financing Requirement					
CFR – General Fund	28.449	26.039	37.743	37.390	36.698

CFR – HRA	28.236	28.511	30.220	31.318	35.865
Total CFR	**56.685**	**54.550**	**67.963**	**68.708**	**72.563**
Movement in CFR	**2.063**	**(2.135)**	**13.413**	**0.745**	**3.855**

Net financing need for the year (above)	5.886	4.129	3.089	2.476	5.710
Less loan / liability repayments	(2.249)	(4.688)	-	-	-
Less MRP/VRP and other financing movements*	(1.574)	(1.576)	10.324	(1.731)	(1.855)
Movement in CFR	**2.063**	**(2.135)**	**13.413**	**0.745**	**3.855**

**2022-23 includes an estimate for the recognition of leases under International Financial Reporting Standard 16 (IFRS 16).*

2.3 Core funds and expected investment balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to either finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.). Detailed below are estimates of the year end balances for each resource and anticipated day to day cash flow balances.

Year End Resources £m	2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Estimate	2022-23 Estimate	2023-24 Estimate	2024-25 Estimate
Fund balances / reserves	25.228	18.679	18.679	18.679	18.679
Capital receipts	6.316	5.016	5.016	5.016	5.016
Earmarked reserves	24.751	12.337	12.337	12.337	12.337
Total core funds	**56.295**	**36.032**	**36.032**	**36.032**	**36.032**
Balances incl working capital*	5.812	12.894	5.158	4.661	3.772
(Under)/over borrowing	(30.782)	(18.926)	(6.190)	(5.693)	(4.804)
Expected investments	**31.325**	**30.000**	**35.000**	**35.000**	**35.000**

**Working capital balances shown are estimated year end; these may be different mid-year.*

2.4 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

DLUHC regulations have been issued which require the full council to approve **an MRP Statement** in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision. The council is recommended to approve the following MRP Statement:

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be:

- **Existing practice -** MRP will follow the existing practice outlined in former DLUHC regulations (option 1).

This option provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (CFR) each year.

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including PFI and finance leases) the MRP policy will be:

- **Asset life method** – MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations (this option must be applied for any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction) (option 3);

This option provides for a reduction in the borrowing need over approximately the asset's life.

There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made.

Repayments included in annual PFI or finance leases are applied as MRP.

MRP Overpayments - A change introduced by the revised DLUHC MRP Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum MRP, VRP or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for these sums to be reclaimed for use in the budget, this policy must disclose any cumulative overpayment made each year.

3 BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the council. The treasury management function ensures that the council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity and the council's capital strategy. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

3.1 Current portfolio position

The overall treasury management portfolio as at 31 March 2021, and the position as at 30 September 2021, are shown below for both borrowing and investments.

TREASURY PORTFOLIO				
	Actual 31 March 2021	**Actual 31 March 2021**	**Actual 30 Sept 2021**	**Actual 30 Sept 2021**
Treasury Investments	**£m**	**%**	**£m**	**%**
Banks	11.383	36.34	4.894	9.04
Money Market Funds	19.942	63.66	49.257	90.96
Total (all managed in-house)	**31.325**	**100.00**	**54.151**	**100.00**

Treasury External Borrowing				
PWLB	19.881	81.50	15.876	77.88
LOBOs	4.500	18.45	4.500	22.08
Salix	0.013	0.05	0.009	0.04
Total	**24.394**	**100.00**	**20.385**	**100.00**
Net treasury investments / (borrowing)	**6.931**		**33.766**	

The Council's forward projections for borrowing are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt against the underlying capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing.

£m	2020-21 Actual	2021-22 Estimate	2022-23 Estimate	2023-24 Estimate	2024-25 Estimate
External Debt					
Debt at 1 April	25.025	24.394	34.455	48.944	50.385
Expected change in Debt	(0.631)	10.061	14.489	1.441	4.745
Other long-term liabilities (OLTL) at 1 April	1.904	1.509	1.169	12.829	12.630
Expected change in OLTL*	(0.395)	(0.340)	11.660	(0.199)	(0.001)
Gross debt at 31 March	**25.903**	**35.624**	**61.773**	**63.015**	**67.759**
The Capital Financing Requirement*	56.685	54.550	67.963	68.708	72.563
Under / (over) borrowing	**30.782**	**18.926**	**6.190**	**5.693**	**4.804**

**2022-23 includes an estimate for the recognition of leases under International Financial Reporting Standard 16 (IFRS 16).*

Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the council operates its activities within well defined limits. One of these is that the council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2022-23 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

The Section 151 Officer reports that the council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

The operational boundary. This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the

CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary £m	2021-22 Estimate	2022-23 Estimate	2023-24 Estimate	2024-25 Estimate
Debt	76.000	76.000	76.000	80.000
Other long term liabilities (incl leases)	10.000	35.000	35.000	35.000
Total	86.000	111.000	111.000	115.000

The authorised limit for external debt. This is a key prudential indicator and represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a legal limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the full council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. The council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

Authorised limit £m	2021-22 Estimate	2022-23 Estimate	2023-24 Estimate	2024-25 Estimate
Debt	81.000	81.000	81.000	85.000
Other long term liabilities (incl leases)	15.000	45.000	45.000	45.000
Total	96.000	126.000	126.000	130.000

Composition of Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit

Debt (in both the Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit above) for 2021-22 onwards includes:

a) A £4m uplift for capital expenditure being incurred in advance of generating capital receipts to fund this expenditure although, for clarity, any such expenditure is not limited to £4m. As this is anticipated to be a relatively short-term timing difference it has not been reflected elsewhere in this report.

b) a £5m uplift to provide additional capacity for any non-treasury investments that the council may pursue. For clarity, any such investments are not limited to £5m and are in addition to any such amounts already included within the capital and treasury estimates. This has not been reflected elsewhere in this report, pending the formulation and approval of any such items.

Other long term liabilities (in both the Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit above) includes an estimate for the recognition of leases under International Financial Reporting Standard 16 (IFRS 16) from April 2022. Once full details are known, the Operational Boundary and/or Authorised Limit may need to be revised for approval.

3.3 Link's economic and interest rate forecast (issued by Link on 10 Nov 2021)

The council has appointed Link Group as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the council to formulate a view on interest rates. Link provided the following forecasts on 8th November 2021. These are forecasts for certainty rates, gilt yields plus 80 bps.

Link Group Interest Rate View 8.11.21	Dec-21	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Mar-23	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24	Jun-24	Sep-24	Dec-24	Mar-25
BANK RATE	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.25
3 month ave earnings	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
6 month ave earnings	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
12 month ave earnings	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
5 yr PWLB	1.50	1.50	1.60	1.60	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.90	1.90	2.00	2.00
10 yr PWLB	1.80	1.90	1.90	2.00	2.00	2.10	2.10	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.40
25 yr PWLB	2.10	2.20	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.70	2.70
50 yr PWLB	1.90	2.00	2.10	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.50	2.50

Additional notes by Link on this forecast table: -

- *LIBOR and LIBID rates will cease from the end of 2021. Work is currently progressing to replace LIBOR with a rate based on SONIA (Sterling Overnight Index Average). In the meantime, Link's forecasts are based on expected average earnings by local authorities for 3 to 12 months.*
- *Link's forecasts for average earnings are averages i.e., rates offered by individual banks may differ significantly from these averages, reflecting their different needs for borrowing short term cash at any one point in time.*

The coronavirus outbreak has done huge economic damage to the UK and to economies around the world. After the Bank of England took emergency action in March 2020 to cut Bank Rate to 0.10%, it left Bank Rate unchanged at its subsequent meetings.

As shown in the forecast table above, Link's forecast for Bank Rate now includes five increases, one in December 2021 to 0.25%, then quarter 2 of 2022 to 0.50%, quarter 1 of 2023 to 0.75%, quarter 1 of 2024 to 1.00% and, finally, one in quarter 1 of 2025 to 1.25%.

Significant risks to the forecasts

- **Labour and supply shortages** prove more enduring and disruptive and depress economic activity.

- **Mutations** of the virus render current vaccines ineffective, and tweaked vaccines to combat these mutations are delayed, resulting in further national lockdowns or severe regional restrictions.

- **The Monetary Policy Committee** acts too quickly, or too far, over the next three years to raise Bank Rate and causes UK economic growth, and increases in inflation, to be weaker than Link currently anticipates.

- **The Monetary Policy Committee** tightens monetary policy too late to ward off building inflationary pressures.

- **The Government** acts too quickly to cut expenditure to balance the national budget.

- **UK / EU trade arrangements** – if there was a major impact on trade flows and financial services due to complications or lack of cooperation in sorting out significant remaining issues.

- **German general election** in September 2021. Germany faces months of uncertainty while a new coalition government is cobbled together after the indecisive result of the election. Once that coalition is formed, Angela Merkel's tenure as Chancellor will end and will leave a hole in overall EU leadership.
- **Longer term US treasury yields** rise strongly and pull gilt yields up higher than forecast.
- **Major stock markets** e.g., in the US, become increasingly judged as being over-valued and susceptible to major price corrections. Central banks become increasingly exposed to the "moral hazard" risks of having to buy shares and corporate bonds to reduce the impact of major financial market sell-offs on the general economy.
- **Geo-political risks,** for example in Iran, North Korea, but also in Europe and Middle Eastern countries; on-going global power influence struggles between Russia/China/US. These could lead to increasing safe-haven flows.

The balance of risks to the UK economy: -
- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is now to the downside, including residual risks from Covid and its variants - both domestically and their potential effects worldwide.

Forecasts for Bank Rate

Link does not expect that Bank Rate will go up fast after the initial rate rise as the supply potential of the economy is not likely to have taken a major hit during the pandemic: it should, therefore, be able to cope well with meeting demand after supply shortages subside over the next year, without causing inflation to remain elevated in the medium-term, or to inhibit inflation from falling back towards the MPC's 2% target after the spike up to around 5%. The forecast includes five increases in Bank Rate over the three-year forecast period to March 2025, ending at 1.25%. However, it is likely that these forecasts will need changing within a relatively short timeframe for the following reasons: -

- There are increasing grounds for viewing the economic recovery as running out of steam during the summer and now into the autumn. This could lead into stagflation which would create a dilemma for the MPC as to whether to focus on combating inflation or supporting economic growth through keeping interest rates low.
- Will some current key supply shortages spill over into causing economic activity in some sectors to take a significant hit?
- Rising gas and electricity prices in October and next April and increases in other prices caused by supply shortages and increases in taxation next April, are already going to deflate consumer spending power without the MPC having to take any action on Bank Rate to cool inflation.
- On the other hand, consumers are sitting on over £160bn of excess savings left over from the pandemic so when will they spend this sum, in part or in total?
- It is estimated that there were around 1 million people who came off furlough at the end of September; how many of those would not have had jobs on 1st October and would therefore be available to fill labour shortages which are creating a major headache in many sectors of the economy? So, supply shortages which have been driving up both wages and costs, could reduce significantly within the next six months or so and alleviate one of the MPC's key current concerns.
- Link also recognises there could be further nasty surprises on the Covid front, on top of the flu season this winter, and even the possibility of another lockdown, which could all depress economic activity.
- If the UK invokes article 16 of the Brexit deal over the dislocation in trading arrangements with Northern Ireland, this has the potential to end up in a no deal Brexit.

In summary, with the high level of uncertainty prevailing on several different fronts, it is likely that these forecasts will be revised again over the next few months - in line with what the new news is.

It should also be borne in mind that Bank Rate being cut to 0.10% was an emergency measure to deal with the Covid crisis hitting the UK in March 2020. At any time, the MPC could decide to simply take away that final emergency cut from 0.25% to 0.10% on no other grounds than it being no longer being warranted and as a step forward in the return to normalisation. In addition, any Bank Rate under 1% is both highly unusual and highly supportive of economic growth.

Forecasts for PWLB rates and gilt and treasury yields
As the interest forecast table for PWLB certainty rates above shows, there is likely to be a steady rise over the forecast period, with some degree of uplift due to rising treasury yields in the US.

There is likely to be **exceptional volatility and unpredictability in respect of gilt yields and PWLB rates** due to the following factors: -

- How strongly will changes in gilt yields be correlated to changes in US treasury yields (see below). Over 10 years since 2011 there has been an average 75%

correlation between movements in US treasury yields and gilt yields. However, from time to time these two yields can diverge. Lack of spare economic capacity and rising inflationary pressures are viewed as being much greater dangers in the US than in the UK. This could mean that central bank rates will end up rising earlier and higher in the US than in the UK if inflationary pressures were to escalate; the consequent increases in treasury yields could well spill over to cause (lesser) increases in gilt yields. There is, therefore, an upside risk to forecasts for gilt yields due to this correlation. The Link Group forecasts have included a risk of a 75% correlation between the two yields.

- Will the Fed (Federal Reserve) take action to counter increasing treasury yields if they rise beyond a yet unspecified level?
- Would the MPC act to counter increasing gilt yields if they rise beyond a yet unspecified level?
- How strong will inflationary pressures actually turn out to be in both the US and the UK and so put upward pressure on treasury and gilt yields?
- How will central banks implement their new average or sustainable level inflation monetary policies?
- How well will central banks manage the withdrawal of Quantitative Easing (QE) purchases of their national bonds i.e., without causing a panic reaction in financial markets as happened in the "taper tantrums" in the US in 2013?
- Will exceptional volatility be focused on the short or long-end of the yield curve, or both?

The forecasts are also predicated on an assumption that there is no break-up of the Eurozone or EU within the forecasting period, despite the major challenges that are looming up, and that there are no major ructions in international relations, especially between the US and China / North Korea and Iran, which have a major impact on international trade and world GDP growth.

Gilt and treasury yields

Since the start of 2021, there has been a lot of volatility in gilt yields, and hence PWLB rates. During the first part of the year, US President Biden's, and the Democratic party's, determination to push through a $1.9trn (equivalent to 8.8% of GDP) fiscal boost for the US economy as a recovery package from the Covid pandemic was what unsettled financial markets. However, this was in addition to the $900bn support package already passed in December 2020. This was then followed by additional Democratic ambition to spend $1trn on infrastructure, which has just been passed by both houses, and an even larger sum on an American families plan over the next decade; this is still caught up in Democrat / Republican haggling. Financial markets were alarmed that all this stimulus was happening at a time when: -

1. A fast vaccination programme has enabled a rapid opening up of the economy.
2. The economy has been growing strongly during 2021.
3. It started from a position of little spare capacity due to less severe lockdown measures than in many other countries.
4. And the Fed was still providing stimulus through monthly QE purchases.

These factors could cause an excess of demand in the economy which could then unleash strong inflationary pressures. This could then force the Fed to take much earlier action to start increasing the Fed rate from near zero, despite their stated policy being to target average inflation.

At its 3rd November Fed meeting, the Fed decided to make a start on tapering QE purchases with the current $80bn per month of Treasury securities to be trimmed by $10bn in November and a further $10bn in December. The $40bn of MBS (mortgage-backed securities) purchases per month will be trimmed by $5bn in each month. If the run-down continued at that pace, the purchases would cease entirely next June but the Fed has reserved the ability to adjust purchases up or down. This met market expectations. These purchases are currently acting as downward pressure on treasury yields and so it would be expected that Treasury yields would rise as a consequence over the taper period, all other things being equal. However, on the inflation front it was still insisting that the surge in inflation was "largely" transitory. In his post-meeting press conference, Chair Jerome Powell claimed that “the drivers of higher inflation have been predominantly connected to the dislocations caused by the pandemic” and argued that the Fed’s tools cannot address supply constraints. However, with the Fed now placing major emphasis on its mandate for ensuring full employment, (besides containing inflation), at a time when employment has fallen by 5 million and 3 million have left the workforce, resignations have surged due to the ease of getting better paid jobs and so wage pressures have built rapidly.

With wage growth at its strongest since the early 1980s, inflation expectations rising and signs of a breakout in cyclical price inflation, particularly rents, the FOMC's (Federal Open Market Committee) insistence that this is still just a temporary shock "related to the pandemic and the reopening of the economy", does raise doubts which could undermine market confidence in the Fed and lead to higher treasury yields.

As the US financial markets are, by far, the biggest financial markets in the world, any upward trend in treasury yields will invariably impact and influence financial markets in other countries. Inflationary pressures and erosion of surplus economic capacity look much stronger in the US compared to those in the UK, which would suggest that Fed rate increases eventually needed to suppress inflation, are likely to be faster and stronger than Bank Rate increases in the UK. This is likely to put upward pressure on treasury yields which could then spill over into putting upward pressure on UK gilt yields.

The balance of risks to medium to long term PWLB rates: -

- There is a balance of upside risks to forecasts for medium to long term PWLB rates.

A new era – a fundamental shift in central bank monetary policy

One of the key results of the pandemic has been a fundamental rethinking and shift in monetary policy by major central banks like the Fed, the Bank of England and the ECB (European Central Bank), to tolerate a higher level of inflation than in the previous two decades when inflation was the prime target to bear down on so as to stop it going above a target rate. There is now also a greater emphasis on other targets for monetary policy than just inflation, especially on ‘achieving broad and inclusive “maximum” employment in its entirety’ in the US, before consideration would be given to increasing rates.

- The Fed in America has gone furthest in adopting a monetary policy based on a clear goal of allowing the inflation target to be symmetrical (rather than a ceiling to keep under), so that inflation averages out the dips down and surges above the target rate, over an unspecified period of time.
- The Bank of England has also amended its target for monetary policy so that inflation should be 'sustainably over 2%' before starting on raising Bank Rate and the ECB now has a similar policy.
- ***For local authorities, this means that investment interest rates and very short term PWLB rates will not be rising as quickly or as high as in previous decades when the economy recovers from a downturn and the recovery eventually runs out of spare capacity to fuel continuing expansion.***
- Labour market liberalisation since the 1970s has helped to break the wage-price spirals that fuelled high levels of inflation and has now set inflation on a lower path which makes this shift in monetary policy practicable. In addition, recent changes in flexible employment practices, the rise of the gig economy and technological changes, will all help to lower inflationary pressures.
- Governments will also be concerned to see interest rates stay lower as every rise in central rates will add to the cost of vastly expanded levels of national debt; (in the UK this is £21bn for each 1% rise in rates). On the other hand, higher levels of inflation will help to erode the real value of total public debt.

Investment and borrowing rates

- **Investment returns** are expected to improve in 2022-23. However, while markets are pricing in a series of Bank Rate hikes, actual economic circumstances may see the MPC fall short of these elevated expectations.

- **Borrowing interest rates** fell to historically very low rates as a result of the COVID crisis and the quantitative easing operations of the Bank of England and still remain at historically low levels. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served local authorities well over the last few years.

- On 25.11.20, the Chancellor announced the conclusion to the review of margins over gilt yields for PWLB rates which had been increased by 100 bps (basis points) in October 2019. The standard and certainty margins were reduced by 100 bps but a prohibition was introduced to deny access to borrowing from the PWLB for any local authority which had purchase of assets for yield in its three-year capital programme. The current margins over gilt yields are as follows: -.

▪ **PWLB Standard Rate** is gilt plus 100 basis points (G+100bps)
▪ **PWLB Certainty Rate** is gilt plus 80 basis points (G+80bps)
▪ **PWLB HRA Standard Rate** is gilt plus 100 basis points (G+100bps)
▪ **PWLB HRA Certainty Rate** is gilt plus 80bps (G+80bps)
▪ **Local Infrastructure Rate** is gilt plus 60bps (G+60bps)

- Borrowing for capital expenditure. Link's long-term (beyond 10 years) forecast for Bank Rate is 2.00%. As some PWLB certainty rates are currently below 2.00%, there remains value in considering long-term borrowing from the PWLB where appropriate. Temporary borrowing rates are likely, however, to remain near Bank

Rate and may also prove attractive as part of a balanced debt portfolio. In addition, there are also some cheap alternative sources of long-term borrowing if an authority is seeking to avoid a "cost of carry" but also wishes to mitigate future re-financing risk.

- While authorities may not be able to avoid borrowing to finance new capital expenditure, to replace maturing debt and the rundown of reserves, there will be a *cost of carry*, (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns), to any new borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances.

3.4 Borrowing strategy

The council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement) has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is still an issue that needs to be considered.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2022-23 treasury operations. The Section 151 Officer will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:

- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in borrowing rates*, then borrowing will be postponed.

- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in borrowing rates than those currently forecast,* perhaps arising from an acceleration in the rate of increase in central rates in the USA and UK, an increase in world economic activity or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised. Most likely, fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates are lower than they are projected to be in the next few years.

Any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

3.5 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the council can ensure the security of such funds.

Borrowing in advance will be made within the constraints that:

- The authority would not look to borrow more than 18 months in advance of need.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

3.6 Debt rescheduling

Rescheduling of current borrowing in our debt portfolio is unlikely to occur as there is still a very large difference between premature redemption rates and new borrowing rates, even though the general margin of PWLB rates over gilt yields was reduced by 100 bps in November 2020.

If rescheduling were done, it will be reported to Cabinet at the earliest meeting following its action.

3.7 New financial institutions as a source of borrowing and / or types of borrowing

Currently the PWLB Certainty Rate is set at gilts + 80 basis points for both HRA and non-HRA borrowing. However, consideration may still need to be given to sourcing funding from the following sources:

- Local authorities (primarily shorter dated maturities)
- Financial institutions (primarily insurance companies and pension funds but also some banks, including forward dates)
- Municipal Bonds Agency
- Other sources of government funding (such as the UK Infrastructure Bank and Salix Finance for example).

3.8 Approved Sources of Long and Short term Borrowing

The council may make use of borrowing from internal sources, PWLB, local authorities, financial institutions, the Municipal Bonds Agency, and/or other sources of government funding as and when appropriate.

4 ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

4.1 Investment policy - management of risk

The Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC - this was formerly the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG)) and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely with treasury (financial) investments (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments are covered in the Capital Strategy and Non-Treasury Investments Report (separate annexed reports).

The Council's investment policy has regard to the following: -

- DLUHC's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance")
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 ("the Code")
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018

The Council's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield (return).

The above guidance from the DLUHC and CIPFA place a high priority on the management of risk. This authority has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

1. Minimum acceptable **credit criteria** are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short term and long-term ratings.

2. **Other information:** ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as **"credit default swaps"** and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.

3. **Other information sources** used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the financial sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

4. This authority has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two lists in annex 2 under the categories of 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments.

- **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year (or have less than a year left to run to maturity if originally they were classified as being non-specified investments solely due to the maturity period exceeding one year).

- **Non-specified investments** are those with less high credit quality, may be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex instruments which require greater consideration by members and officers before being authorised for use.

5. **Non-specified investments limit.** The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments at £5m (see paragraphs 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4).

6. **Lending limits** (amounts and maturity) for each counterparty will be set through applying the matrix table in paragraph 4.2.

7. This authority will set a limit for the amount of its investments which are invested for maturities **longer than 365 days**, (see paragraphs 4.2 and 4.4).

8. Investments will only be placed with counterparties from foreign countries which have a specified minimum **sovereign rating**, (see paragraph 4.3). This does not apply to pooled investment vehicles.

9. This authority has engaged **external consultants**, (see paragraph 1.5) to provide expert advice on how to optimise an appropriate balance of security, liquidity and yield, given the risk appetite of this authority in the context of the expected level of cash balances and need for liquidity throughout the year.

10. All investments will be denominated in **sterling**.

11. As a result of the change in accounting standards under **IFRS 9,** this authority will consider the implications of investment instruments which could result in an adverse movement in the value of the amount invested and resultant charges at the end of the year to the General Fund. In November 2018, The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) concluded a consultation for a temporary override to allow English local authorities time to adjust their portfolio of investments by announcing a statutory override to delay implementation of IFRS 9 for five years ending 31 March 2023.

However, this authority will also pursue **value for money** in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance (see paragraph 4.5). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

Changes in risk management policy from last year.
The above criteria are unchanged from last year.

4.2 Creditworthiness policy

The primary principle governing the council's investment criteria is the security of its investments, although the yield or return on the investment is also a key consideration. After this main principle, the council will ensure that:

- It maintains a policy covering both the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security, and monitoring their security. This is set out in the specified and non-specified investment sections below; and
- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose it will set out procedures for determining the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be committed. These procedures also apply to the council's prudential indicators covering the maximum principal sums invested.

The Section 151 Officer will maintain a counterparty list in compliance with the following criteria and will revise the criteria and submit them to council for approval as necessary. These criteria are separate to that which determines which types of investment instrument are either specified or non-specified as it provides an overall pool of counterparties considered high quality which the council may use, rather than defining what types of investment instruments are to be used.

Credit rating information is supplied by the Link Group, our treasury advisors, on all active counterparties that comply with the criteria below. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria would be omitted from the counterparty (dealing) list. Any rating changes, rating watches (notification of a likely change), rating outlooks (notification of the longer term bias outside the central rating view) are provided to officers almost immediately after they occur and this information is considered before dealing. For instance, a negative rating watch applying to a counterparty at the minimum council criteria may be suspended from use, with all others being reviewed in light of market conditions.

The criteria for providing a pool of high quality investment counterparties (both specified and non-specified investments) is:

- Banks 1 - good credit quality **–** the council will only use banks which:
  i. are UK banks; and/or
  ii. are non-UK and domiciled in a country which has a minimum sovereign long term rating of AA-

  and have, as a minimum, the following credit rating from at least one of Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poors (where rated):
  i. Short term – F1 (or equivalent)
  ii. Long term – A-(or equivalent)
- Banks 2 – Part nationalised UK bank – Royal Bank of Scotland Group ring-fenced operations. This bank can be included provided it continues to be part nationalised or it meets the above criteria.
- Banks 3 – The council's own banker for transactional purposes if the bank falls below the above criteria, although in this case balances will be minimised in both monetary size and time invested.
- Bank subsidiary and treasury operations: If separately rated, the council will use those that meet the ratings/criteria for banks outlined above. If not separately rated, the council will use these where the parent bank has the necessary ratings/criteria outlined above.
- Building societies: The council will use all societies which meet the ratings/criteria for banks outlined above.
- Money market funds, enhanced money market funds, bond funds – AAA
- UK Government (including gilts, treasury bills and the DMADF)
- Local authorities, parish councils, community councils, companies controlled by the council (either alone or with other public sector organisations) etc
- Housing associations
- Supranational institutions
- Multi-asset funds

A limit of £5m will be applied to the use of non-specified investments.

Use of additional information other than credit ratings. Additional requirements under the Code require the council to supplement credit rating information. Whilst the above criteria relies primarily on the application of credit ratings to provide a pool of appropriate counterparties for officers to use, additional operational market information will be applied before making any specific investment decision from the agreed pool of counterparties. This additional market information (for example Credit Default Swaps, rating watches/outlooks) will be applied to compare the relative security of differing investment counterparties.

Time and monetary limits applying to investments. The time and monetary limits for institutions on the council's counterparty list are as follows (these will cover both specified and non-specified investments):

	Fitch Long Term Rating (or equivalent)*	Money Limit	Time Limit (settlement period)
Level 1	**AA-**	**£6m per institution**	**370 days**
Level 2	**A**	**£5m per institution**	**370 days**
Level 3	**A-**	**£4m per institution**	**185 days**
Part nationalised	**N/A**	**£7m per institution**	**370 days**
Debt Management Account Deposit Facility	**UK sovereign rating**	**unlimited**	**6 months**
Money market funds, enhanced money market funds, bond funds	**AAA**	**£6m per fund**	**370 days**
Local authorities, parish councils, community councils, companies controlled by the council (either alone or with other public sector organisations), housing associations, supranational institutions etc	**N/A**	**£4m per institution**	**5 years**
Multi-asset funds	**N/A**	**£5m per fund**	**370 days**

**The institution must have this minimum credit rating from at least one of Fitch, Moody's, and Standard and Poors (where rated).*

The proposed criteria for specified and non-specified investments are shown in Annex 2 for approval.

Creditworthiness
Significant levels of downgrades to short and long-term credit ratings have not materialised since the crisis in March 2020. In the main, where they did change, any alterations were limited to Outlooks. However, as economies are beginning to reopen, there have been some instances of previous lowering of Outlooks being reversed.

CDS prices

Although bank CDS prices (these are market indicators of credit risk) spiked upwards at the end of March / early April 2020 due to the heightened market uncertainty and ensuing liquidity crisis that affected financial markets, they have returned to more average levels since then. However, sentiment can easily shift, so it will remain important to undertake continual monitoring of all aspects of risk and return in the current circumstances. Link monitors CDS prices as part of its creditworthiness service to local authorities and the council has access to this information via its Link-provided Passport portal.

4.3 Other limits

Due care will be taken to consider the exposure of the council's total investment portfolio to non-specified investments, countries, groups and sectors.

a) **Non-specified investment limit.** The council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments at £5m.

b) **Country limit.** The council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from the UK (irrespective of the UK sovereign credit rating) or other countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA- from Fitch (or equivalent). This list will be added to, or deducted from, by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

c) **Other limits.** In addition:

- no more than £5m will be placed with any non-UK country at any time (this limit applies to each non-UK country individually and not to non-UK countries in total);
- limits in place above will apply to a group of companies;
- secor limits will be monitored regularly for appropriateness.

The above restrictions do not apply to pooled investment vehicles (including multi-asset funds (apart from the non-specified investment limit), money market funds, enhanced money market funds and bond funds). The council only invests in sterling denominated pooled investment vehicles.

4.4 Investment strategy

In-house funds: Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months). Greater returns are usually obtainable by investing for longer periods. While most cash balances are required in order to manage the ups and downs of cash flow, where cash sums can be identified that could be invested for longer periods, the value to be obtained from longer term investments will be carefully assessed.

- If it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to rise significantly within the time horizon being considered, then consideration will be given to keeping most investments as being short term or variable.

- Conversely, if it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to fall within that time period, consideration will be given to locking in higher rates currently obtainable, for longer periods.

Link's investment returns expectations (issued by Link on 10 November 2021):

Link's current forecast, shown in section 3.3, includes a forecast for a first increase in Bank Rate in December 2021 though there is a high risk that it could be delayed until quarter 1 or 2 of 2022.

Link's suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to about three months during each financial year are as follows:

Average earnings in each year	Now	Previously
2022/23	0.50%	0.25%
2023/24	0.75%	0.50%
2024/25	1.00%	0.50%
2025/26	1.25%	1.00%
Long term later years	2.00%	2.00%

Investment treasury indicator and limit - total principal funds invested for maturities greater than 365 days. These limits are set with regard to the council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The council is asked to approve the following treasury indicator and limit: -

Upper limit for principal sums invested for maturities longer than 365 days			
£m	**2022-23**	**2023-24**	**2024-25**
Principal sums invested for maturities longer than 365 days	£5m	£5m	£5m

Investments as at 31 September 2021 invested for maturities longer than 365 days were £nil.

For its cash flow generated balances, the council will seek to utilise its instant access and notice accounts, pooled investment vehicles and term deposits in order to benefit from the compounding of interest.

4.5 Investment performance / risk benchmarking

These benchmarks are simple guides to maximum risk, so they may be breached from time to time, depending on movements in interest rates and counterparty criteria. The purpose of the benchmarks is that officers will monitor the current and trend position and amend the operational strategy to manage risk as conditions change. Any breach of the benchmarks will be reported, with supporting reasons in the mid-year or Annual Report.

Security - The council's maximum security risk benchmark for the current portfolio, when compared to historic default tables, is:

- 0.05% historic risk of default when compared to the whole portfolio (excluding unrated investments).

Liquidity – in respect of this area the council seeks to maintain:

- Liquid short term deposits of at least £10m available with a week's notice.
- Weighted average life benchmark is expected to be in the range of 0 to 1 years, with a maximum of 5 years.

Yield - local measures of yield benchmarks are:

- Investments – internal returns above the 7 day SONIA compounded rate.

And in addition that the security benchmark for each individual year is (excluding unrated investments):

	1 year	2 years	3 years	4 years	5 years
Maximum	**0.05%**	**0.05%**	**0.05%**	**0.05%**	**0.05%**

Note: This benchmark is an average risk of default measure, and would not constitute an expectation of loss against a particular investment.

4.6 End of year investment report

At the end of the financial year, the council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

4.7 Use of external fund managers

Except for pooled funds, it is the council's policy not to use external fund managers for any part of its investment portfolio.

The council may use pooled investment vehicles and fully appreciates the importance of monitoring the activity and resultant performance of such investments. In order to aid this assessment, the council is provided with a suite of regular reporting from its providers.

4.8 Ethical investing

Although investment guidance, both statutory and from CIPFA, makes clear that all investing must adopt the principles of security, liquidity and yield (in that order) the council is committed to ethical high standards and declared a climate emergency on 1 July 2019; doing what is within its power to become carbon neutral by 2030 within its estates and activities.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) metrics are incorporated into the credit rating agency assessments, which the council uses in its investment strategy.

Typical ESG considerations are shown below.

Environmental: Emissions and air quality, energy and waste management, waste and hazardous material, exposure to environmental impact.

Social: Human rights, community relations, customer welfare, labour relations, employee wellbeing, exposure to social impacts.

Governance: Management structure, governance structure, group structure, financial transparency.

5 OPTIONS

That the Governance and Audit Committee:

a) Makes comments on this report and annexes as appropriate, and recommends this report and annexes, including each of the key elements listed below, to council for approval.

- The Capital Plans, Prudential Indicators and Limits for 2022-23 to 2024-25, including the Authorised Limit Prudential Indicator.
- The Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy.
- The Treasury Management Strategy for 2022-23 to 2024-25 and the Treasury Indicators.
- The Investment Strategy for 2022-23 contained in the Treasury Management Strategy, including the detailed criteria.
- The Capital Strategy for 2022-23.
- The Non-Treasury Investments Report for 2022-23.

b) Does not approve this report and annexes (advising the reason(s) why); thereby not complying with the Treasury Management Code of Practice.

6 NEXT STEPS

Under the Treasury Management Code of Practice it is required that the Governance and Audit Committee, Cabinet and council consider this report and annexes.

This report and annexes is to go to Cabinet and then council for approval. The relevant Cabinet and council meetings are on 13 January 2022 and 10 February 2022 respectively.

7 DISCLAIMER

This report (including its annexes) is a technical document focussing on public sector investments and borrowings and, as such, readers should not use the information contained within the report to inform personal investment or borrowing decisions. Neither Thanet District Council nor any of its officers or employees makes any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein (such information being subject to change without notice) and shall not be in any way responsible or liable for the contents hereof and no reliance should be placed on the accuracy, fairness or completeness of the information contained in this document. Any opinions, forecasts or estimates herein constitute a judgement and there can be no assurance that they will be consistent with future results or events. No person accepts any liability whatsoever for any loss howsoever arising from any use of this document or its contents or otherwise in connection therewith.

Contact Officer: Chris Blundell, Director of Finance & Acting Section 151 Officer
Reporting to: Madeline Homer, Chief Executive

Annex List

Annex 1: The Capital Prudential and Treasury Indicators 2020-21 – 2024-25
Annex 2: Treasury Management Practice (TMP1) – Credit and Counterparty Risk Management
Annex 3: Guidance on Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy (TMSS)
Annex 4: Capital Strategy 2022-23
Annex 5: Non-Treasury Investments Report 2022-23

Corporate Consultation Undertaken

Finance: N/A
Legal: Estelle Culligan, Deputy Monitoring Officer