

# Risk Matrix & Register

Service Area

## MTF Athelstan & Ethelbert

Matrix of Risk Levels

|            |               | Impact     |                  |              |            |
|------------|---------------|------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
|            |               | Minor<br>1 | Significant<br>2 | Serious<br>3 | Major<br>4 |
| Likelihood | Very Likely 4 | 4          | 8                | 12           | 16         |
|            | Likely 3      | 3          | 6                | 9            | 12         |
|            | Unlikely 2    | 2          | 4                | 6            | 8          |
|            | Remote 1      | 1          | 2                | 3            | 4          |

| Controlled Risk<br>If all actions |            |                           |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Impact                            | Likelihood | Total Risk Score<br>(ExG) |
| 2                                 | 2          | 4                         |
| 1                                 | 2          | 2                         |
| 1                                 | 1          | 1                         |
| 1                                 | 1          | 1                         |
| 1                                 | 1          | 1                         |
|                                   |            | 0                         |

| Date Risk Identified | Date Risk Reviewed | Name of risk             | Description of risk   | Mitigation actions   | Impact | Likelihood | Total Risk Score (ExG) |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--------|------------|------------------------|
| 5-Aug-20             |                    | Low economic output      | Low economic output leading to reduced ability for tenants to maintain area as part of an ongoing process | The area is undergoing an economic upturn. An example being a 100% increase in property values in the last 10 years.                                   | 2      | 2          | 4                      |
| 5-Aug-20             |                    | Transient population     | High transience means reduced community ownership and buy in to required improvements                     | Work has been undertaken to reduce transience, particularly with families with children 0-4  | 1      | 2          | 2                      |
| 5-Aug-20             |                    | Low community confidence | Area perceived as neglected by resident group leading to low acceptance of proposed activities            | Surveys in relation to the PSPO reflect that community confidence is high  | 1      | 1          | 1                      |
| 5-Aug-20             |                    | Language barriers        | Normal communication routes ineffective leading to low acceptance   | The PSPO has been advertised in written English and with a pictograph. 20 of PSPO surveys returned were in a pictographic format with a 100% approval. | 1      | 1          | 1                      |
| 5-Aug-20             |                    | Lack of funding          | No direct funding available (currently) to implement required changes                                     | Funding in place   | 1      | 1          | 1                      |
| 5-Aug-20             |                    | Lack of agency buy in    | Issues not resolved leading to a public lack of support   | All relevant agencies consulted and in agreement.  | 1      | 1          | 1                      |
| 5-Aug-20             |                    |                          |   |  |        |            | 0                      |