

Reference number	Submitted	Q1. Please select which of the below statements best describes you as the respondent to this questionnaire	If other, please specify	1b. Please tell us the name of the organisation, if you are responding on their behalf (i.e. local community group or residents association, Council, local business owner, or charity, voluntary or community sector organisation).	Q2. What is your postcode? (Note: we use this to help analyse the consultation data. It will not be used to identify you).	Q3. To what extent are you satisfied overall with the information in Part 1: Character Appraisal. This section provides an assessment of the special architectural and historic interest of Birchington Conservation Area, and the contribution made by individual elements to its special character and appearance.	Please add your comments about Part 1: Character Appraisal.	Q4. To what extent are you satisfied overall with the information in Part 2: Management Plan. This section provides principles and recommendations to manage change across the conservation area and help to preserve its character and appearance.	Please add your comments about Part 2: Management Plan.	Q5. To what extent are you satisfied overall with the information in Part 3 Section 7: Boundary Review.	Please add your comments about Part 3 Section 7: Boundary Review.	Q6. To what extent are you satisfied overall with the information in Part 4: Supporting Information. This section contains a list of useful sources; the methodology used to carry out this Appraisal; and a glossary of architectural or historic terms used throughout the Appraisal.	Please add your comments about Part 4: Supporting Information.	Q7. We value your input! Is there anything else you would like to share or any additional comments you have regarding the draft Birchington conservation area appraisal? Your feedback is greatly appreciated and will help us enhance the plan further.
16266	March 12, 2024 17:58	A local resident		Imagine Photography	CT8 8QQ	Neutral		Neutral			Neutral	Not satisfied		
16355	March 16, 2024 15:23	On behalf of a charity, voluntary or community sector organisation (VCS)		Thanet District CPRE	CT10 1HU	Satisfied		Satisfied		The extension of the Conservation Area is particularly welcomed	Satisfied	Satisfied		
16424	March 19, 2024 10:14	A local resident			CT7 9RJ	Satisfied	there have been some upsetting planning proposals which will be detrimental to the historical flow through the village	Very satisfied	I would be for the extension of the conservation area as some builders and landowners wish to adapt their facilities for commercial and not for local environment or historical interest. some of the Victorian houses along Padstock road and Westfield road may be of special interest	I would extend the boundary to incorporate some of the well maintained victorian houses along the older roads just feet away from the boundary and near to church	Very satisfied	Very satisfied	a good document, some builders need to be made to review their plans and adhere to the document	
16442	March 19, 2024 20:56	A local resident			CT7 9HP	Satisfied		Neutral			Neutral	Satisfied		
16687	March 28, 2024 17:02	A local resident			CT7 9LU	Satisfied		Satisfied			Satisfied	Satisfied		
16696	March 29, 2024 16:39	A local resident				Neutral	I wish as much effort was put into developing the area, making housing available, improving infrastructure and improving quality of life as there was protecting Dutch Gables, the historic layout of the Square and high street and exactly where historic street surfaces survive. Bin this off, and hold a survey of residents asking about housing needs, amenities, parking availability and what developments residents would like to see. The aging population of Birchington and especially the town council seems fixated on fruitlessly preserving the past whilst the next generations cannot afford houses, have little housing availability and do not have access to the things they would like and need in their town.	Not satisfied	I wish as much effort was put into developing the area, making housing available, improving infrastructure and improving quality of life as there was protecting Dutch Gables, the historic layout of the Square and high street and exactly where historic street surfaces survive. Bin this off, and hold a survey of residents asking about housing needs, amenities, parking availability and what developments residents would like to see. The aging population of Birchington and especially the town council seems fixated on fruitlessly preserving the past whilst the next generations cannot afford houses, have little housing availability and do not have access to the things they would like and need in their town.	Who cares? I mean, genuinely? Who cares?		Neutral	Got bored before I got to this section.	I wish as much effort was put into developing the area, making housing available, improving infrastructure and improving quality of life as there was protecting Dutch Gables, the historic layout of the Square and high street and exactly where historic street surfaces survive. Bin this off, and hold a survey of residents asking about housing needs, amenities, parking availability and what developments residents would like to see. The aging population of Birchington and especially the town council seems fixated on fruitlessly preserving the past whilst the next generations cannot afford houses, have little housing availability and do not have access to the things they would like and need in their town.
17210	April 19, 2024 12:01	A local business owner			CT7 9DQ	Satisfied		Satisfied			Satisfied	Satisfied	However, there are a number of other properties in Birchington that deserve to be noted that do not fall within the proposed area - is there something that can be done to protect these too ?	
17231	April 20, 2024 16:32	A local resident			CT7 9UA	Satisfied		Satisfied			Satisfied	Satisfied		
17244	April 21, 2024 09:47	A local resident			CT7 9LL	Satisfied	Part 1 is NOT the Character Appraisal. This is Part 2 of the document.	Satisfied	The Management Plan is at Part 3 of the document, not Part 2. My comments on the Management Plan are: Para. 6.2.2 - The proposals are supported but are unrealistic without identifying which Council will be responsible for paying for the improvements. Para 6.2.7. It is unrealistic to expect property owners to retrofit their buildings to make them more sustainable without substantial grants being made available. It is also difficult to see how changes to the buildings in the (new) Conservation Area could be made more sustainable without harming their character.	I support the extension of the Conservation Area as proposed but it would be helpful if supporting maps (throughout the document) showed street names and that a few individual properties were numbered as this would make it easier to physically identify them.	Very satisfied	Very satisfied	Please could Thanet District Council (in co-operation with the Parish Council) produce an Action Plan and indicative budgets to demonstrate how the recommendations contained in the report will be implemented.	

